Appendix C: Summary of consultation replies received for each proposed policy amendment

| Proposed Policy Amendment | Percentage/ Number who agree | Percentage/ Number who disagree | Percentage/ Number who are unsure | Total Replies |
|--|------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---|------------------|
| 1: Awarding priority to applicants to whom we have accepted a section 75 homeless duty. | 57% (155) | 10% (26) | 33% (88) | 269 |
| 2: Reduce the priority on the waiting list of those applicants who fall into Band 2 under S75 homeless duty, if they refuse a homeless final offer. | 76% (206) | 9% (25) | 15% (40) | 271 |
| 3: When a first offer is refused unreasonably, the application effective date is amended to the date the offer was refused. | 66% (182) | 16% (44) | 18% (48) | 274 |
| 4: Simplifying how applicants are assessed for accessible housing. | 83% (223) | 9% (25) | 8% (22) | 270 |
| Awarding priority to applicants who are currently overcrowding one-bedroom social housing. | 77% (208) | 7% (18) | 16% (42) | 268 |
| 6: Awarding priority to applicants currently under-occupying their social housing tenancies by two or more bedrooms. | 74% (199) | 8% (23) | 18% (48) | 270 |
| 7: Give all households the opportunity to apply for one bedroom extra than they need subject to an affordability assessment. | 83% (224) | 8% (23) | 9% (24) | 271 |
| 8: Widening the group of applicants that will be considered for 'Housing First' | 73% (197) | 4% (10) | 23% (64) | 271 |
| 9: Strengthening 'Housing First for Youth' (16–25-year-olds) considerations. | 70% (187) | 10% (28) | 20% (54) | 269 |
| 10: Removal of volunteering as a reason for a local connection. | 57% (153) | 10% (26) | 33% (90) | 269 |
| 11: Widening the group of applicants that will be considered for additional priority due to loss of tied accommodation. | 60% (162) | 13% (35) | 27% (73) | 270 |
| 12: Re-designating older person accommodation as accessible homes | 82% (220) | 11% (31) | 7% (19) | 270 |
| 13: Expanding the group of applicants who can be considered for an additional bedroom above | 65% (176) | 16% (43) | 19% (51) | 270 |

| their housing need, to include full-time working applicants who are in receipt of the housing element of Universal Credit | | | | |
|--|-----------|----------|----------|-----|
| 14: Strengthening applicant's responsibility to re-pay previous tenancy related debt as part of determining that they are 'Ready-to-Move' | 74% (198) | 10% (27) | 16% (43) | 268 |
| 15: Clarifying how amendment to tenancy requests will be dealt with. | 70% (188) | 2% (6) | 28% (73) | 267 |
| 16: Clarification of special conditions applied by partner landlords when allocating their empty homes. | 81% (215) | 2% (6) | 17% (46) | 267 |
| 17: Clarification of when a household may qualify for a house. | 86% (229) | 4% (12) | 10% (26) | 267 |
| 18: Removal of the example for when an offer may be withdrawn: "The property is required in an emergency". | 71% (190) | 9% (23) | 20% (55) | 268 |
| 19: Clarification over when the effective date may be amended. | 66% (175) | 9% (25) | 25% (65) | 265 |
| 20: Reducing the renewal period for Common Housing Register applications from 12 months to 6 Months. | 80% (217) | 11% (30) | 9% (24) | 271 |